Case 3:17-cv-03470-SI Document 22 Filed 06/29/17 Page 1 of 3

1	DOUGLAS H. WIGDOR (NY SBN 2609469) JEANNE M. CHRISTENSEN (NY SBN 2622124)		
2	ELIZABETH J. CHEN (NY SBN 5126214) (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	•,	
3	WIGDOR LLP 85 Fifth Avenue, Fifth Floor		
4	New York, NY 10003 Tel.: (212) 257-6800		
5	Fax: (212) 257-6845		
6	JAMIE C. COUCHE (SBN 252001) ANDERSON & POOLE, P.C.		
7	601 California Street, Suite 1300 San Francisco, CA 94108		
8	Tel.: (415) 956-6413 Fax: (415) 956-6416		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff,		
10	JANE DOE		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	JANE DOE,	Case No.: 3:17-cv-03470-SI	
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION	
16	vs.		
17	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., TRAVIS KALANICK, in his personal and professional		
18	capacities, ERIC ALEXANDER, in his personal and professional capacities and EMIL		
19	MICHAEL, in his personal and professional capacities,,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23	It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between Plaintiff Jane Doe and Defendants Ube		
24	Technologies, Inc. ("Uber") and Travis Kalanick that:		
25	1. The undersigned counsel on behalf of Defendants Uber and Kalanick keep all		
I	1. The undersigned counsel on behal	Tot Botondants Cool and Talainok Roop an	
26	1. The undersigned counsel on behal defenses or objections to the above-captioned law	•	
	5	vsuit, the court's jurisdiction, and the venue of	

1

Doe v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.

Stipulation and Proposed Order No. 17-cv-3470-SI

1	2. Defendants Uber's and Kalanick's time to answer, move or otherwise respond to	
2	the complaint in the above-captioned action shall be due on August 21, 2017.	
3	3. If Defendants Uber and Kalanick file a motion in response to the Complaint,	
4	Plaintiff shall have up to and including September 20, 2017 to file a response to such motion.	
5	Defendants Uber and Kalanick will then have up to and including October 4, 2017 to file a reply	
6	in support of their motion.	
7	4. The requested enlargement of time is necessary because of counsel's commitments	
8	in other, pre-existing matters.	
9	5. There has been no previous request for extension of time in this matter.	
10	6. The requested extension of time will have no effect on the schedule for this case.	
11	Respectfully submitted,	
12	Dated: June 2017 WIGDOR LLP	
13		
14	By: JEANNE M. CHRISTENSEN	
15	Attorney for Plaintiff	
16	Dated: June 28, 2017 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
17		
18	By: DANIEL BOOKIN	
19	Attorney for Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

Dated: June 26 2017 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP WALTER F. BROWN MELINDA LAHAAG Attorneys for Travis Kalanick [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: HON. SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Court Judge

Case 3:17-cv-03470-SI Document 22 Filed 06/29/17 Page 3 of 3